Solar simulators for high-efficiency and new PV technologies

Peter Pasmans, PhD

BCworkshop December 4, 2024 Delft, The Netherlands



Eternal Sun: sure about solar



eternal**sun**

Company overview



Assure the world of solar performance. Sure about Solar.

PV Testing Equipment



Flash Sun Simulators

Degradation lightsoakers

Tier 1 manufacturers Certification labs R&D Institutes **Quality Inspection Services**

В





Import test labs QA

Factory inspection QA

Harbors of Rotterdam & Valencia Engineering teams in Beijing, Shanghai

EPCs and PV plant owners Europe



Offices near our main customers





0

Scaling up from cell R&D to full module production



Challenges when measuring ______ high-efficiency and new PV module technologies



High efficiency cell technologies show increased charge built up (capacitance) during fast IV sweeps \rightarrow also observed with back-contact PV modules

- High efficiency Layers such as passivation (PERC) reduce recombination of carriers \rightarrow higher V_{oc}
 - Higher $V_{oc} \rightarrow$ increased charge build-up (capacitance) during the IV voltage sweep \rightarrow lower P_{max} measurement



- Commonly applied 10ms pulse too short for P_{max} measurement due to higher (junction and diffusion^[2]) capacitance
- For every cell V_{oc} increase of $18mV \rightarrow \sim 2x$ increase carrier concentration \rightarrow doubled sweep time effect ^[3]

[1] Based on 3500SLP sweep time sequence measurement of PERC module (2016); [2] Source: Herman et al. Optimal I-V Curve Scan Time of Solar Cells and Modules in Light of Irradiance Level; [3] Source: Smets et al., Solar Energy (2016)



Implication

modules

effect

Strong hysteresis in perovskite PV: sweep times > production cycle times



[4] Hishikawa et al. (AIST) / Current Applied Physics 16 (2016) 894 - 904



BCworkshop Delft – December 4-5, 2024

Solar simulators for high-efficiency and new PV technologies

Perovskite PV devices show metastability under illumination



Impact of light cycles vs continuous light soak



[5] Khenkin, M.V., Katz, E.A., Abate, A. et al. Consensus statement for stability assessment and reporting for perovskite photovoltaics based on ISOS procedures, Nat Energy 5, 35–49 (2020)

Stabilization & degradation depend strongly on material stack





Test conditions influence stabilized Pmax values after 100-1000 hours

Pmax

- ISOS long term stabilization protocols ^[3] at different temperatures 25, 65 & 85°C
- When scaling up to modules, IEC PVQAT TG8 emphasizes impact of ^[4]
 - 1. Light uniformity
 - 2. Temperature uniformity on DUT

Stabilized Power Output (SPO)



Light soaking time (100-1000s hrs)

[6] Khenkin, Katz, Abate, A. et al. Consensus statement for stability assessment and reporting for perovskite photovoltaics based on ISOS procedures, Nat Energy 5, 35–49 (2020) [7] IEC PVQAT TG 8



New IEC 60904-1-4: "Metastable devices" IV characterization norm

New IEC 60904-1-4 standard in development:
 "Guidelines for current-voltage measurements of perovsk

"Guidelines for current-voltage measurements of perovskite-based PV modules"

IEC TC82 (Solar PV) WG2 (modules) project team has started

 $_{\odot}$ Focus on performing power ratings at STC

• Find consensus on pre-conditioning:

 $_{\odot}$ Allowed exposure history of modules defined in draft standard

- Temperature dependency of metastability → stabilize module at 25°C (STC)?
- Stabilized Power Output (SPO) should not include degradation (type BCworkshop Delft – December 4-5, 2024
- ¹¹ approval)

Inline test methods for manufacturing of perovskite PV modules

- Inline testing doesn't allow minute long sweeps or MPP tracking (typical 15 sec cycle time)
- The industry is still developing these short cycle time test methods (no convergence to one solution yet), Eternal Sun is working with its customers on methods for different material stacks
- In similar style to current IEC 61215 for thin film technologies, a combination of 100% inline testing with offline (lab) stabilization sample testing to determine a controlled offset will probably be the outcome



Tandem characterization procedures have additional challenges

1. Tandem matched spectrum (recap)

For current matched or two terminal tandems: junctions are series connected so they run at the same current

→One of two junctions can limit the current of the other, negatively impacting Pmax

Tandem matching is required: calibrating the light source spectrum for the two junctions separately

This is standardized in IEC 60904-1-1 Multi junction (tandem) devices

Four terminal tandems can be calibrated and measured separately

2. Temperature coefficients

Because of different temperature coefficients for the C-Si & PVSK junctions Pmax stabilization can also be done at 25°C STC

Transparent electrode Perovskite top cell Thin junction layer Thin junction layer Silicon bottom cell **Back reflector** 100 80 Perovskite Si 60 40 20 800 1000 1200 200 400 600 Wavelength (nm)

[8] Source: Song et al. How Should Researchers Measure Perovskite-Based Monolithic Multijunction Solar Cells' Performance? A Calibration Lab's Perspective (2022)

Measurements of Bifacial Modules: Flip method

"Do I need a double-sided flasher to measure bifacial modules?" \rightarrow Not necessarily!

Single side method (Flip 📣 the module to test front and rear side)

How to make a reference bifacial PV module using the flip method?

Example = 300 W

<u>Step 2</u>. Flash the rear side at 1000 W/m2

<u>Step 3</u>. Calculate φ by dividing Pmax rear/Pmax front

<u>Step 5</u>. Measure the bifacial module at the three equivalent irradiances

Why equivalent irradiance on the front: module is running the same currents as in bifacial mode, which affects Rseries

Step 4. Calculate three equivalent irradiances
with the formula: $G_E = G_F + \varphi_{Pmax,STC} * G_R$

Standard conditions

Bifacility $oldsymbol{arphi}$ [-]	Front side irradiance <i>G_F</i> [W/m²]	Rear side irradiance <i>G_R</i> [W/m²]	Equivalent irradiance <i>G_E</i> [W/m²]
0.80	1000	0	1000
0.80	1000	135 (BNPI)	1108
0.80	1000	300 (BSI)	1240

BCworkshop Delft – December 4-5, 2024

Solar simulators for high-efficiency and new PV technologies

How to make a reference bifacial PV module? \rightarrow Determine the BiFi slope

Step 6. Plot everything

<u>Step 7</u>. Calculate the BiFi slope (see graph)

<u>Step 8</u>. Report everything \rightarrow Bi-facial reference module measurement complete

φ[-]	<i>G_R</i> [W/m²]	<i>G_E</i> [W/m²]	P _{max} [W]	<i>Bifi,r</i> ef [W/(Wm ⁻²)]	Pmax,bifi, DUT [W]
80%	0	1000	500	0.4	500
	135	1108	-		554 (BNPI)
	300	1240	-		620 (BSI)

How to measure bifacial PV modules in a production line?

- Calibrate the simulator to the reference bifacial PV module of the same type at 1000 W/m2 front side, <u>no need to cover rear side</u> -> because if both reference are in-line and not covered, rear irradiance effect cancels out
- Measure in-line bifacial PV module with 1000 W/m2 front side (no need to cover rear side if rear irradiance condition is the same, it cancels out). Extrapolate BSI and BNPI power ratings with the Bifi slope from the reference module or batch sample tests

Sampling from production batches:

- Measure in-line bifacial PV module with 1000 W/m2 front side and with the equivalent irradiances (Ge) of 1000 + 135 W/m2 (BNPI) and 1000 + 300 W/m2 (BSI) on the front side (no need to cover rear side if rear irradiance conditions is the same, it cancels out).
- 2. Update the Bifi Slope and extrapolated BSI and BNPI power ratings of the modules produced afterwards

Measurements of Bifacial Modules: Double-sided method

"For asymmetric designs and (2T) bifacial tandem modules"

- "Voc-penalty" for modules with asymmetric design with flip method, double-sided yields slightly higher and more realistic BNPI/BSI ratings
- Testing bifacial (2T) tandem modules requires double-sided illumination, since with rear-side illumination the bottom cell blocks the light

How to measure (tandem) bifacial PV modules in a production line?

Shown in picture: 6200 double sided LED flasher, optional with automation (conveyors and auto probing) for in-line application

Example of typical test recipe				
Step	Front side irradiance <i>G_F</i> [W/m ²]	Rear side irradiance <i>G_R</i> [W/m ²]	Goal	
1	1000	0	Nominal Pmax	
2	0	1000	Bifaciality	
3	1000	135	BNPI: Bifacial Name Plate Irradiance Pmax	
4	1000	300	BSI: Bifacial Stress Irradiance Pmax	

Double vs single sided method

Double-sided method	Single-sided method
+ Higher throughput for bifaciality/BNPI/BSI	- Requires flipping of modules
+ Slightly higher and more realistic BNPI/BSI ratings	- "Voc-penalty" for modules with asymmetric design
+ Possible to measure bifacial (2T) tandem modules	- Bifacial 2T tandem modules can't be measured
- Additional cost of second light source	+ Single solar simulator
- Module loading between two light sources	+ More standard temperature chamber

Solar simulators for high-efficiency and new PV technologies

- High-efficiency PV technologies show increased charge built up (capacitance) during fast IV sweeps
 → requires solar simulator with long pulse or steady-state capability
- Perovskite solar modules show metastability and require sweep times > production cycle times
 → standardized characterization methods mainly based on MPP tracking in development
 → inline characterization can be performed using a controlled offset from offline characterization
- Characterization of bifacial modules with asymmetric design or bifacial 2T tandem modules
 → double-side method provides higher accuracy for BNPI and BSI
 - \rightarrow characterize of **bifacial 2T tandem modules** requires double-sided method

Thank you for your attention! Questions?

Peter Pasmans, PhD Business developer PVSK/tandem PV Member of IEC WG2 Scan QR to receive:

- Today's slides
- Whitepapers
- Webinar recording

